

Superhorizon perturbations and preheating

Karim A. Malik¹, David Wands¹, David H. Lyth,² and Andrew R. Liddle³

¹*School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Mercantile House, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 2EG, U. K.*

²*Department of Physics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, U. K.*

³*Astronomy Centre, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, U. K.*

Abstract. We discuss the evolution of linear perturbations about a Friedmann–Robertson–Walker background metric, using only the local conservation of energy–momentum. We show that on sufficiently large scales the curvature perturbation on spatial hypersurfaces of uniform-density is constant when the non-adiabatic pressure perturbation is negligible. We clarify the conditions under which super-horizon curvature perturbations may vary, using preheating as an example.

Structure in the Universe is generally supposed to originate from the quantum fluctuation of the inflaton field. As each scale leaves the horizon during inflation, the fluctuation freezes in, to become a perturbation of the classical field. The resulting cosmological inhomogeneity is commonly characterized by the intrinsic curvature of spatial hypersurfaces defined with respect to the matter. This metric perturbation is a crucial quantity, because at approach of horizon re-entry after inflation it determines the adiabatic perturbations of the various components of the cosmic fluid, which seem to give a good account of large-scale structure [1].

To compare the inflationary prediction for the curvature perturbation with observation, we need to know its evolution outside the horizon, through the end of inflation, until re-entry on each cosmologically relevant scale. The standard assumption is that the curvature perturbation is practically constant. This has recently been called into question in the context of preheating models [2] at the end of inflation where non-inflaton perturbations can be resonantly amplified [3,4]. We investigate the circumstances under which the curvature perturbation may vary.

Using only the local conservation of energy–momentum, we show that the rate

of change of the curvature perturbation on uniform-density hypersurfaces¹, ζ , on large scales is due to the non-adiabatic part of the pressure perturbation. This result is independent of the form of the gravitational field equations, demonstrating for the first time that the curvature perturbation remains constant on large scales for purely adiabatic perturbations in *any* relativistic theory of gravity where the energy-momentum tensor is covariantly conserved. In contrast with the usual approach to cosmological perturbation theory, we shall not invoke any gravitational field equations. General coordinate invariance implies the energy-momentum conservation law $T^\mu_{\nu;\mu} = 0$, without invoking the Einstein field equations.

The pressure perturbation must be adiabatic if there is a definite equation of state for the pressure as a function of density, which is the case during both radiation domination and matter domination. On the other hand, a change in ζ on super-horizon scales will occur during the transition from matter to radiation domination if there is an isocurvature matter density perturbation [6,7].

A simple intuitive understanding of how the curvature perturbation on large scales changes, due to the different integrated expansion in locally homogeneous but causally-disconnected regions of the universe, can be obtained within the ‘separate universes’ picture which we described in [8].

I LINEAR SCALAR PERTURBATIONS

The line element allowing arbitrary linear scalar perturbations of a Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) background can be written [9–12]

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2A)dt^2 + 2a^2(t)\nabla_i B dx^i dt + a^2(t)[(1 - 2\psi)\gamma_{ij} + 2\nabla_i \nabla_j E] dx^i dx^j. \quad (1)$$

The unperturbed spatial metric for a space of constant curvature κ is given by γ_{ij} and covariant derivatives with respect to this metric are denoted by ∇_i .

The curvature perturbation on fixed- t hypersurfaces, ψ , is a gauge-dependent quantity and under an arbitrary linear coordinate transformation, $t \rightarrow t + \delta t$, it transforms as $\psi \rightarrow \psi + H\delta t$. On uniform-density hypersurfaces the curvature perturbation can be written as²

$$-\zeta = \psi + H \frac{\delta \rho}{\dot{\rho}}. \quad (2)$$

The curvature perturbation on uniform-density hypersurfaces, ζ , is often chosen as a convenient gauge-invariant definition of the scalar metric perturbation on large scales. These hypersurfaces become ill-defined if the density is not strictly decreasing, as can occur in a scalar field dominated universe when the kinetic energy of the scalar field vanishes. In this case one can instead work in terms of the density

¹) The “conserved quantity” ζ was originally defined in Bardeen, Steinhardt and Turner [5], but constructed from perturbations defined in the uniform Hubble-constant gauge.

²) The sign of ζ is chosen here to coincide with Refs. [5].

perturbation on uniform-curvature hypersurfaces, $\delta\rho_\psi = \delta\rho + \dot\rho\psi/H$, which remains finite.

The pressure perturbation (in any gauge) can be split into adiabatic and entropic (non-adiabatic) parts, by writing

$$\delta p = c_s^2 \delta\rho + \dot p \Gamma, \quad (3)$$

where $c_s^2 \equiv \dot p/\dot\rho$. The non-adiabatic part is $\delta p_{\text{nad}} \equiv \dot p \Gamma$, and $\Gamma \equiv \delta p/\dot p - \delta\rho/\dot\rho$. The entropy perturbation Γ , defined in this way, is gauge-invariant, and represents the displacement between hypersurfaces of uniform pressure and uniform density.

II EVOLUTION OF THE CURVATURE PERTURBATION

The energy conservation equation $n^\nu T^\mu_{\nu;\mu} = 0$ for first-order density perturbations gives

$$\dot\delta\rho = -3H(\delta\rho + \delta p) + (\rho + p) \left[3\dot\psi - \nabla^2 (v + \dot E) \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\nabla^i v$ is the perturbed 3-velocity of the fluid. In the uniform-density gauge, where $\delta\rho = 0$ and $\psi = -\zeta$, the energy conservation equation (4) immediately gives

$$\dot\zeta = -\frac{H}{\rho + p} \delta p_{\text{nad}} - \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 (v + \dot E). \quad (5)$$

We emphasize that we have derived this result without invoking any gravitational field equations, although related results have been obtained in particular non-Einstein gravity theories [13]. We see that ζ is constant if (i) there is no non-adiabatic pressure perturbation, and (ii) the divergence of the 3-momentum on zero-shear hypersurfaces, $\nabla^2 (v + \dot E)$, is negligible.

On sufficiently large scales, gradient terms can be neglected and [14,7]

$$\dot\zeta = -\frac{H}{\rho + p} \delta p_{\text{nad}}, \quad (6)$$

which implies that ζ is constant if the pressure perturbation is adiabatic. It has been argued [7] that the divergence is likely to be negligible on all super-horizon scales, and in the following we shall make that assumption.

III PREHEATING

The chaotic inflation model studied originally in [2] and later on by [3,4] has the scalar field potential $V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2$, where ϕ is the inflaton and χ

the reheat field. In this model the non-adiabatic pressure perturbation is given on large scales by

$$\delta p_{\text{nad}} = \frac{-m^2 \phi \dot{\delta\chi}^2 + \ddot{\phi} g^2 \phi^2 \delta\chi^2}{3H\dot{\phi}}, \quad (7)$$

where the long-wavelength solutions for vacuum fluctuations in the ϕ field obey the adiabatic condition $\delta\phi/\dot{\phi} = \dot{\delta\phi}/\ddot{\phi}$ (see also [15]). We stress that we still only consider first-order perturbations in the metric and total density and pressure, but these include terms to second-order in $\delta\chi$. This yields the power spectrum for the non-adiabatic part of the curvature perturbation [4]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta_{\text{nad}}} \simeq \frac{2^{9/2} 3}{\pi^5 \mu^2} \left(\frac{\Phi}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{H_{\text{end}}}{m} \right)^4 g^4 q^{-1/4} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{end}}} \right)^3 I, \quad (8)$$

where the integral I can be approximated to first order by $I \simeq 0.86(m\Delta t)^{-3/2} \exp[4\mu_0 m\Delta t]$ (see [4] for details in notation). It follows from Eq. (8) that the scale dependence of $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta_{\text{nad}}}$ is k^3 . Hence there is no change in the curvature perturbation in this model due to preheating on scales relevant for large scale structure formation. But it has been shown in [16] that the steep k^3 -spectrum in this model leads to an over-production of primordial black holes on smaller scales.

REFERENCES

1. A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rep. **231**, 1 (1993).
2. L. Kofman, A. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3258 (1997).
3. B. A. Bassett, F. Tamburini, D. I. Kaiser, and R. Maartens, Nucl. Phys. B **561**, 188 (1999).
4. A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 103508 (2000).
5. J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983).
6. H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **2** 491 (1987).
7. D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Rep. **314**, 1 (1999).
8. D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 043527.
9. E. M. Lifshitz, J. Phys. Moscow, **10**, 116 (1946).
10. J. M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1882 (1980).
11. H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **78**, 1 (1984).
12. V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. **215**, 203 (1992).
13. J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. **375**, 443 (1991).
14. J. García-Bellido and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5437 (1996).
15. C. Gordon, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett and R. Maartens, astro-ph/0009131.
16. A. M. Green and K. A. Malik, hep-ph/0008113.